KU LEUVEN

KU Leuven Technologiecampus Oostende 'Techniek die werkt'



Mechatronics and Embedded Software @ KU Leuven Oostende/Brugge prof. dr. ing. Boydens Jeroen

24/09/2015AmiEs-2015Ambient Intelligence and Embedded Systems



abstract

- Mechatronics is concerned with the development of as well intelligent production lines as intelligent products. In our current society they take an ever more increasing role as these systems can be found anywhere. Ranging from home environments such as digital cameras, to industrial environments such as smart agriculture machines.
- The software part of these Cyber Physical Systems is becoming more important as more and more components are programmable. This talk will focus on software engineering strategies that support the development of embedded software for CPS's. Focusing on current research in agile methodologies such as test-driven development and techniques to build resilient embedded software. This resilience copes with disturbances in software leading to dataflow or control flow errors. This resilience is positioned in relation to functional safety standards to which these systems must adhere.

KU LEUVEN

Test-Driven Development of embedded software



Problem statement

Two trends influence the way embedded software is developed

1) *Embedded system level*: Embedded software plays a more important role

2) Global level:

Embedded systems are becoming more pervasive in our lives (even in critical domains)

KU LEUVE

- Consumer electronics
- Automotive / transportation
- Medical applications

Risk = Importance x Chance of failure

Embedded quality assurance

Quality assurance in embedded software development is mostly limited to debugging and final testing, only focusing on the current issue...



5

KU LEUVEN

Automated testing

Timely detection of bugs by automated testing

- Running test suite frequently during development
- Incrementally expanding the test suite
- Support from unit testing framework



- 1. Find bugs early
- 2. Measurable quality
- 3. Increasing confidence
- 4. Detecting regression
- 5. Encapsulating third party code

KU LEUVEN

Test-Driven Development

TDD cycle

- 1. Write failing test (red)
 - New behavior
 - Minimal skeleton to get through compilation
- 2. Write code to pass test (green)
 - Minimal implementation
- 3. Refactor
 - No new behavior
 - Clean code
 - Keep tests passing



KU LEUVEN





24/09/2015 AmiEs-2015

Test-Driven Development

Advantages

- 1. Code is tested while it's written
- 2. Fast feedback cycle
- 3. Extensive & safe refactoring
- 4. Focus on current functionality
- 5. Tests become living documentation



Embedded constraints

$\leftrightarrow \mathsf{Embedded} \mathsf{TDD} \mathsf{ challenges}$



 Limit the memory footprint needed for TDD on target hardware

KU LEUVEN



- 2. Tests for
 - 1. Hardware independent code
 - 2. Hardware aware code
 - 3. Hardware specific code



Maintain a fast programming cycle



TDD strategies for embedded



KU LEUVEN

24/09/2015 AmiEs-2015

TDD4ES strategy evaluation



11

KU LEUVEN

24/09/2015 AmiEs-2015

Embedded Software Resilience



Introduction

- Embedded systems are used more and more:
 - Home appliances
 - Avionics
 - o Railway
 - Automotive
 - $_{\circ}$ Medical
 - o ...



- Function can be grouped in two main categories
 - 1. Safety-critical: system can decide life or death
 - 2. Non-safety-critical: e.g. infotainment systems



Safety-critical

• Safety-critical products must comply with a standard





Functional Safety: IEC 61508

- The standard addresses two types of failures:
 - 1. Systematic Failures = design failures: these failures are addressed by imposing the Safety Life-Cycle.
 - The Safety Life-Cycle defines a number of steps, which must be followed, documented and verified to develop a compliant product.
 - 2. Random Hardware Failures: these failures are quantified and categorized



Functional Safety: IEC 61508

- Once all HW failure rates are known, a product can be given a Safety Integrity Level (SIL)
 - High demand = functioning more than 1 p.a.
 e.g. car brakes
 - Low demand = functioning less than 1 p.a.
 e.g. car airbag

SIL	High demand (dangerous failures / hr)	Low demand (probability of failing on demand)	
4	$\geq 10^{-9} \text{ to} < 10^{-8}$	$\geq 10^{-5}$ to $< 10^{-4}$	
3	$\geq 10^{-8}$ to $< 10^{-7}$	$\geq 10^{-4}$ to < 10^{-3}	
2	$\geq 10^{-7}$ to $< 10^{-6}$	$\geq 10^{-3}$ to < 10^{-2}	
1	$\geq 10^{-6}$ to $< 10^{-5}$	$\geq 10^{-2} \text{ to} < 10^{-1}$	
	16		

KU LEUVEN

Problem: soft error

- A soft error is a disturbance on hardware level, caused by external factors.
- That disturbance translates to a bit-flip that corrupts memory.
- That corruption can affect the executing software and cause a system crash.





Cost Efficient Detection



Protecting against Soft Errors: Overview

• The system can be protected against Soft Errors by Software Implemented Fault Tolerance (SWIFT)



Data flow error detection

- Corruption of input, intermediary and output values must be detected.
- This can be done via
 - Duplication and comparison:
 - Values are calculated multiple times and compared to each other.
 - Can be executed at different levels:
 - Variable and Parameter
 - Function...
 - Defensive programming:
 - Inputs and outputs of functions are submitted to reasonability checks
 - Pre- and postconditions



Control flow error detection

- Control Flow Errors (CFE) corrupt the execution order of the program.
 - Skip instructions
 - Re-execute instructions
 - Take wrong branch...
- To detect CFEs, the correct control flow of the program must be known.
 - 1. Divide program in Basic Blocks
 - 2. Construct the Control Flow Graph (CFG)



KU LEUVEN

Validating the detection

- No matter what detection technique is used, once implemented it must be evaluated for its detection capability.
- Validating the detection technique means soft errors have to occur in the system. This can be arranged via fault injection.
- Fault Injection is, as the name indicates, the process of injecting, introducing soft errors in a system.



Case Study: Fault Tolerant Pick and Place Robot

- Parts:
 - Lynxmotion AL5B
 5 servo motors fully
 control the arm.
 - MBED NXP LPC1768 the microcontroller, driven by Cortex-M3.
 - APDS-9960
 color, proximity and gesture sensor



ARM[®]mbed[®]



Safety Life-Cycle

 The Safety Life-Cycle is a development process imposed by IEC 61508 to address Systematic Failures.



Safety Integrity Level (SIL)

- Once a function or system is developed and all protective measures have been implemented, it will have a failure rate.
- IEC 61508 uses SIL to express excellence, using the final failure rate. The range of failure rates is divided into four ranges. The higher the SIL the more stringent the requirements to meet that level.

SIL	Probability of dangerous failure per hour (High demand or Continuous operation)	Probability of failure on demand (low demand operation)
4	$\ge 10^{-9}$ to $< 10^{-8}$	$\ge 10^{-5}$ to $< 10^{-4}$
3	$\ge 10^{-8}$ to $< 10^{-7}$	$\ge 10^{-4}$ to $< 10^{-3}$
2	$\ge 10^{-7}$ to $< 10^{-6}$	$\geq 10^{-3}$ to < 10^{-2}
1	$\geq 10^{-6}$ to < 10^{-5}	$\geq 10^{-2}$ to < 10^{-1}

24/09/2015 AmiEs-2015

EN 50128/50129

EN 50129 (System Safety)

EN 50128 (Software)

KU LEUVEN

Safety Integrity Level	Tolerable Hazard Rate (THR), per hour, per function	Software Safety Integrity Level (SSIL)	Description of Safety Integrity
4	10 ⁻⁹ ≤ THR < 10 ⁻⁸	4	Very High
3	10 ⁻⁸ ≤ THR < 10 ⁻⁷	3	High
2	10 ⁻⁷ ≤ THR < 10 ⁻⁶	2	Medium
1	10 ⁻⁶ ≤ THR < 10 ⁻⁵	1	Low
	Minimum	0	Non-Safety Related
	required		

The SSIL is required to be at least the same as the system SIL